UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,589	04/13/2007	Rika Koyama	082420-000300US	6994
	7590 07/15/201 AND TOWNSEND AN		EXAMINER	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			JIANG, CHEN WEN	
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/15/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/581,589	KOYAMA, RIKA		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Chen-Wen Jiang	3744		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versiliure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,2,6,7 and 9 is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3-5 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 				
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2007 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to ld accepted or b)☐ objected to ld drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) \(\int \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	(PTO-413)		
 Notice of Preferences Cited (PTC-932) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20080402,20080509,20091117,2010042</u> 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	nte		

Application/Control Number: 10/581,589 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claims 3-5 and 8 in the reply filed on 5/12/2010 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 3-5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Geilhufe et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,584,439).

In regard to claims 3, 4 and 8, Geilhufe et al. disclose an apparatus and method for controlling voice controlled devices. The apparatus comprises speech recognition means that acquires speech data representing a speech, and specifies a candidate for a phrase represented by the speech by performing speech recognition on the speech data (C-10, L-1-10); and control means control air conditioner which specifies a variable to be changed to obtain a result desired by an utterer of the speech, a direction in which the variable is to be changed, and a device which is to be controlled to change the variable, based on the candidate specified by the speech recognition means and data indicating statuses of the external devices to be controlled, and controls the specified device in such a way as to change the specified variable in the specified direction. Also, the control means control a device when a number of devices which are

Art Unit: 3744

controllable in such a way as to change the specified variable in the specified direction (C-17, L-60 to C-18, L-13, C-26, L-60 to C-27, L-11 and C-13, L-22-35). Under the principals of inherency, if a prior art device, in its normal and usual operation, would necessarily perform the method claimed, then the method claimed will be considered to be anticipated by the prior art device. When the prior art device is the same as a device described in the specification for carrying out the claimed method, it can be assumed the device will inherently perform the claimed process. *Ir re King*, 801 F.2d 1324, 231 USPQ 136 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

In regard to claim 5, the control discriminates whether or not the utterer of the speech feels comfortable with a current condition based on a candidate specified by the speech recognition means, and stores a condition indicated by environmental data to be supplied at a time when it is discriminated that the utterer feels comfortable; and means which discriminates whether or not the utterer of the speech desires to obtain a condition with which the utterer felt comfortable in the past based on a candidate specified by the speech recognition means, and upon determination that the utterer desires, refers locally stored past environmental data, specifies a variable to be changed for obtaining a condition indicated by the referred past environmental data and/or a direction in which the variable should change, and controls the air conditioner in such a way that a specified variable changes in a specified direction (C-17, L-60 to C-18, L-13; C-19, L-27-37 and C-27, L-6-11).

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible

Art Unit: 3744

harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 3, 4 and 8 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 4 and 5 of U.S. Patent No. 7,505,909. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

voice control air conditioner and other devices, speech recognition means, specifying a candidate, specifies a variable or direction change based on a candidate or operation status.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Chen-Wen Jiang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744